



53

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

Single Bench : Hon'ble Shri H.L. Dattu, C.J.

Writ Petition No. 1696 of 1994

Petitioner

Suryamani Pandey, Son of Ramdutt Pandey, aged 44 years, Occupation — Service, Presently posted as Moharrir (Tax Collector), Nagar Palika, Ambikapur, District Sarguja

Vs.

Respondent

Municipal Council, Ambikapur, Through :
The Chief Municipal Officer, Ambikapur,
District Sarguja

Petition under Articles 226 and 227 of the Constitution of India.

Present:

Shri Ashish Shrivastava, counsel for the petitioner.
Dr.N.K.Shukla, Senior Adv. with Shri A.K.Yadav, counsel for
respondent.

ORAL ORDER

(Passed on 25th April, 2007)

In this petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner seeks a direction to the respondent to consider his case and claim for payment of increment right from the year 1966 from the respondent. According to the petition averments, he had made several representations before the respondent and inspite of long lapse of time, according to the petitioner those representations are not being considered and no relief is granted to the petitioner. Therefore, he is before this Court for appropriate direction.

(2) In my opinion, the responsible respondent ought to have considered the reasonable request made by his own employee and since that has not been done, appropriate direction requires to be issued. Accordingly the following :

54

-2-

Order

- (i) Petition is disposed of.
- (ii) Direction is issued to the respondent to consider the representation said have been filed by the petitioner in accordance with law and in accordance with Government circular issued from time to time as expeditiously as possible at any rate within six months from the date of receipt of a copy of the order.
- (iii) However, liberty is reserved to the petitioner to file an additional representation, if he so desires, within 15 days from today before the Municipal Council/appropriate Authority/Municipal Corporation. Ordered accordingly.

Sd/-
Chief Justice